



EXTREME VIOLENCE PREVENTION PROTOCOLS: SUMMARY OVERVIEW FOR K-12 SCHOOLS

Extreme Violence Prevention Protocols	Description	Method of Delivery	Method of Measurement
Objective One	95% OR MORE OF FACULTY AND STAFF KNOW THE WARNING SIGNS OF A POTENTIALLY VIOLENT INDIVIDUAL AND HOW TO REPORT THAT INDIVIDUAL CONFIDENTIALLY”	All staff, including bus drivers would view an 8 -10-minute customized video, and/or be instructed with a handout on the warning signs of a potentially violent individual.	Staff attendance/professional development logs and email verification
Objective Two	95% OR MORE OF FACULTY, STAFF, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS WOULD RECEIVE TRAINING ON HOW TO RECOGNIZE AND REPORT A THREAT/CONCERN FOR ANYONE’S SAFETY (AT or AWAY FROM SCHOOL)	Multiple Options: Either in a school assembly, in each classroom, via video, via newsletter, or any combination of the above	2-3 anonymous survey’s throughout the year
Objective Three	THE SCHOOL WOULD HAVE A THREAT ASSESSMENT RESPONSE TEAM TRAINED AND IN PLACE	Certified threat assessment team trainer helps create teams at Middle and High Schools	School TAT team logs
Objective Four	85% OR MORE OF FACULTY/STAFF/STUDENTS/PARENTS WILL RECEIVE TRAINING 2X PER YEAR ON THE BENEFITS OF AN ELEVATED LEVEL OF AWARENESS FOR ANY TYPE OF CONCERN ON AND AWAY FROM CAMPUS	Depending on the advice of administration the best method of delivery will be determined and used 3x per school year	Attendance/professional development logs and email verification
Objective Five	THE MOST EFFECTIVE “ACTIVE SHOOTER/INTRUDER ON CAMPUS WANTING TO CAUSE HARM” RESPONSE ACTIONS WILL BE EMBEDDED ON CAMPUS	Effective training methods are taught, and then re-taught until embedded on campus.	Verify that 100% of faculty, staff, substitutes, and transportation staff have been trained, and lockdown drills can secure a building in less than 20-30 seconds (depending on size/configuration)
Objective Six	PHYSICAL SECURITY PROTOCOLS CURRENTLY AVAILABLE AND OPERATING ON CAMPUS WILL BE AS EFFECTIVE AS POSSIBLE	Current PSP methods analyzed and compared to effective school security PSP methods	Scores for PSP will be over 90%
Objective Seven	OUTSIDE AREA THREATS ARE CONTINUALLY MEASURED AND BASED ON THE RESULTS OF THE MEASUREMENT, PROACTIVE PLANS OF PREVENTION ARE DESIGNED AND IMPLEMENTED	Once a month meeting and analysis of all possible outside threats with local law enforcement, stakeholders, etc...	Objective observations and anonymous survey’s
Objective Eight	MONTHLY SCHOOL CLIMATE MONITORING	In Person training that will provide the school with formatted and regular school safety meetings	Objective observations and anonymous survey’s
Objective Nine	SUPERVISION AND SURVEILLANCE METHODS WILL BE AS EFFECTIVE AS POSSIBLE	Written analysis of comparison between current supervision plans and identified points of vulnerability	Objective measurement of developed plan to have key points of vulnerability properly supervised, and the actual supervision action taking place

Objective Ten

100% OF STUDENTS WILL HAVE SUICIDE PREVENTION SCREENING

Each student will take part in the Columbia University Suicide Severity Scale (or some other reputable method) assessment

Students who score a "1" or more on the assessment have a monitored plan of services provided

BENEFITS TO THE SCHOOL

In addition to drastically reducing the risk of an active shooter, and/or violent person incident occurring on campus, the Active Shooter Prevention Protocol Service also provides the following benefits:

- Ability to prove
- Reduced risk of lawsuits.
- Increase of staff Professional Development/Training without requiring increased and inconvenient meeting time.
- Quality staff recruited and retained.
- Parental satisfaction and trust rate increased.
- Operational effectiveness increased.
- Positive press coverage and community exposure for the school.